

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/403,205	12/06/1999	NORMAN BRIDGES	M1851-10	6976	
7278 7	590 09/04/2002	<b>t</b>			
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE NEW YORK, I	BOX 5257 NY 10150-5257		GORT, ELAINE L		
		j	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
DATE MAILED: 09/04/2002					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SK

· ·	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
065 - 4 - 6 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9	09/403,205		BRIDGES, NORM	1AN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	13				
	Elaine Gort		3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	<u>ebruary 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-44</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ation						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>27-44</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	r election requirer	ment						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	d b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
<u> </u>	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) I The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 27-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 27 recites the limitation "the resilient action" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 27 recites the limitation "the pivot axis" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 32, line 2 it is unclear what is meant by "the magnitude of the excursion from a static load position".

Claim 36 recites the limitation "the axis of rotation of the wheel" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 38 recites the limitation "the resilient force" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/403,205 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 4. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 5. Claims 27-37 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Loertz (US Patent 2,552,987).

Loertz discloses the claimed roller skate carriage including a pivoting mounting arm (24) for each wheel and a torsion spring (28) acting about the pivot axis of the trailing arm and where the wheel follows a predetermined non-linear path.

Art Unit: 3627

6. Claims 27-40 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Mongeon (US Patent 4,402,521).

Mongeon discloses the claimed roller skate carriage including a pivoting mounting arm (76) for each wheel and a torsion spring (56) acting about the pivot axis of the trailing arm and where the wheel follows a predetermined non-linear path.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 27-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlin (WO 97/05931) in view of Mongeon (US Patent 4,402,512).

Perlin discloses the claimed carriage for a roller skate including a pivoting mounting arm (see figure 3 near reference 38) for each wheel and a spring (near reference 42, see also page 5, line 12) acting about the pivot axis of the trailing arm and where the wheel follows a predetermined non-linear path. Perlin discloses the claimed device except for a torsion spring and an adjustable abutment stop. Mongeon discloses that it is known in the art to provide a helical, coil torsion spring for durable wheel constraint. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the carriage of Perlin with the helical, coil torsion spring of Mongeon, in order to provide a durable wheel constraint.

Art Unit: 3627

Regarding claim 41, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the abutment stop (56) of Perlin with adjustability to accommodate wear and also to adjust the firmness of the skate to the users desired performance.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner believes that potential areas of clarification leading to an allowance may exist pertaining to further defining the orientation of the claimed spring mechanism. Examiner invites Applicant to an in-person interview to further discuss these areas.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3627

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is

(703)305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

1113.

EG

August 27, 2002

´ DOUGLAS HESS PRIMARY EXAMINEF

9-4-02

Page 6